MFR/SO = Manufacturer or Stewardship Organization

Section/ Area	Comment Number	Commenter Affiliation	First name	Last name	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions Needed
General Comment	General Comment #1		1		CalRecycle received numerous comments asserting that the department lacks the authority to include requirements not expressly contained in AB 1343.	CalRecycle maintains it has been given authority by the legislature to make regulations whenever there is substantial evidence that regulations are needed to implement, interpret, make specific, or to govern CalRecycle's procedure when there is ambiguity regarding any requirement under the program, to effectuate the purpose of the statute. Therefore, this rulemaking seeks to add clarity and establish the necessary administrative procedures to fulfill CalRecycle's responsibilities under AB 1343.	
General Comment	General Comment #2				CalRecycle received numerous comments asserting that the language in the proposed regulation should duplicate the language in statute.	Cal. Gov. Code § 11349 et. seq. discourages the indiscriminate incorporation of statutory language in a regulation. The department has elected only to repeat statutory definitions in the regulation where it believes repetition is reasonably necessary to promote clarity.	
General Comment	General Comment #3				CalRecycle received numerous comments asserting that reporting requirements for program activities go beyond what is allowed in statute.	CalRecycle asserts that it has authority to require information on all program activities paid for by the architectural paint stewardship assessment as part of its duties under statute. PRC §48703(b)(4) tasks the department with approving the assessment and ensuring that it is sufficient to recover, but not exceed, the cost of the architectural paint stewardship program and that any surplus funds are put back into the program to reduce the costs of the program, including the assessment amount.	
General Comment	W28-01	AkzoNobel Paints	James	Kantola	Akzo Nobel Paints supports the comments submitted by the American Coatings Association (ACA) and PaintCare. The proposed regulations go well beyond the scope of CalRecycle's statutory authority and are inconsistent with the legislation.	This is a general objection to the proposed regulation such that no specific subject could be identified for which to provide a response.	None
General Comment	W29-01	PPG Industries, Inc.	Mary Ellen	Shivetts	PPG Industries, Inc. supports the comments submitted by the American Coatings Association (ACA) and PaintCare. The proposed regulations go well beyond the scope of CalRecycle's statutory authority and are inconsistent with the legislation.	This is a general objection to the proposed regulation such that no specific subject could be identified for which to provide a response.	None
General Comment	W30-01	Seal-Krete	David	Podgornik	Seal-Krete supports the comments submitted by the American Coatings Association (ACA) and PaintCare. The proposed regulations go well beyond the scope of CalRecycle's statutory authority and are inconsistent with the legislation.	This is a general objection to the proposed regulation such that no specific subject could be identified for which to provide a response.	None
General Comment	W31-01	Kelly-Moore Paints	Robert	Stetson	Kelly-Moore Paints supports the comments submitted by the American Coatings Association (ACA) and PaintCare. The proposed regulations go well beyond the scope of CalRecycle's statutory authority and are inconsistent with the legislation.	This is a general objection to the proposed regulation such that no specific subject could be identified for which to provide a response.	None
General Comment	W32-01	Behr Process Corporation	Michael	Butler	Behr Process Corporation supports the comments submitted by the American Coatings Association (ACA) and PaintCare. The proposed regulations go well beyond the scope of CalRecycle's statutory authority and are inconsistent with the legislation.	This is a general objection to the proposed regulation such that no specific subject could be identified for which to provide a response.	None
General Comment	W34-01	Dunn Edwards Corporation	Robert	Wendoll	Dunn Edwards Corporation supports the comments submitted by the American Coatings Association (ACA) and PaintCare. The proposed regulations go well beyond the scope of CalRecycle's statutory authority and are inconsistent with the legislation.	This is a general objection to the proposed regulation such that no specific subject could be identified for which to provide a response.	None
§18951 (i)	W27-00.1	American	Gene	Livingston	The definition of "significant or material change" is internally inconsistent,	See response to comment W27-04.	None

Section/ Area	Comment Number	Commenter Affiliation	First name	Last name	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions Needed
		Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare			of questionable legality, and is unnecessary. The definition should be struck from the regulation.		110000
§18951(f)	W27-01	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	The definition of "operational costs" in subsection (f) is inconsistent with statute and lacks clarity. The distinction is on the basis of who incurs the expense, CalRecycle on one hand, or a paint manufacturer or a stewardship organization on the other. The definition "operational costs" fails to make this distinction and leaves open an interpretation of the regulation that "operational costs" could include expenses incurred by CalRecycle. As such, it lacks clarity. Recommendation: "'Operational Costs' means costs necessary to cover the cost of implementing a manufacturer or stewardship organization's paint stewardship program, including, but not limited to collection,	In response to comment W02-01 following the 45-day comment period, CalRecycle staff stated: The intent of offering a definition of "operational costs" in the proposed regulation is to identify the kinds of costs that may be incurred during the operation of a program as outlined in a stewardship plan. These costs may be borne by many different stakeholders, and would be the subject of negotiation between the parties, therefore CalRecycle does not concur with restricting costs to those incurred directly by a manufacturer or stewardship organization. Upon further review, CalRecycle staff determined that clarifying operational costs as those of a MFR/SO would not, in fact, negate the operational or other costs borne by other stakeholders, and by revising the definition would clarify that these are a MFR/SO's operational costs in carrying out their stewardship program. Any costs borne by other stakeholders, such as prospective service providers, would need to be negotiated with the	§18951(f)
					transportation, processing, disposal, and education and outreach operations."	MFR/SO.	
§18951(h)	W27-02	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	The definition of "service provider" serves no legitimate purpose because the term is used in sections of the regulation that are inconsistent with the statute. The definition of "service provider" should be struck from the regulations.	The purpose of defining "service providers" is to identify and acknowledge those entities that may participate in a paint stewardship program, and which therefore helps to clarify how those service providers will be compensated (e.g., through mutually agreeable and reasonably feasible agreements established between the MFR/SO and the service provider, as negotiated.)	None
						CalRecycle staff does not concur that the sections of the regulation in which the term "service provider" is used, or any other section of the proposed regulation, are inconsistent with statute. Therefore, no changes are recommended based on this comment.	
§18952(b)	W27-02.1	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	The statute does not require plans to be submitted under penalty of perjury. Such a requirement results in the potential imposition of a criminal penalty if a statement in a stewardship plan is later proved to be false. CalRecycle lacks the authority to create circumstances that give rise to a criminal penalty.	While CalRecycle asserts that it has the authority to require stewardship plans and annual reports to be submitted under penalty of perjury, for the purposes of this regulation staff has decided that this provision is not necessary and may be removed in reference to both stewardship plan and annual report submissions.	§18952(b) and (c)
§18952(b)	W27-02.2	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	The provision that "stewardship plans shall be submitted electronically, according to instructions provided by the department" is inherently ambiguous and lacks clarity. Without the specifics of these "instructions" being specified, further requirements could be hidden that would impose additional hardships for manufacturers.	CalRecycle staff intends for the submittal instructions provided by the department to be solely procedural and mechanical, and does not intend to impose additional requirements under these procedures.	None
§18952(b) (1)	W27-02.3	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	CalRecycle has exceeded its authority by including requirements to the contact of plans that go beyond the requirements specified in section 48703 of statute. CalRecycle does not have the authority to require plans to contain additional information such as "scope," "collection systems," "market development," "program performance measurements,"	See responses to General Comments #1 and #3, and comment W02-07 (45-day comment period). Market development: PRC §48700 states that one of the purposes of the architectural paint recovery program is to "reduce environmental impacts of disposal" PRC §48702(a) also	None

Section/	Comment	Commenter	First	Last name	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions
Area	Number	Affiliation	name				Needed
					"stakeholder consultation," and "audits."	requires manufacturers to create a program that will "promote the reuse of postconsumer architectural paint, in an environmentally sound fashion."	
						As promoting markets for recycled paint is one method of achieving this goal, it seems reasonable for the department to ask for manufacturers or stewardship organizations to report on any activities in this arena.	
						Market development remains a discretionary element in the report to the extent that it is a discretionary activity the MFR/SO may engage in; manufacturers and stewardship organizations need only describe these efforts in this area to the extent that they choose to conduct these activities, these activities are not required.	
						The inclusion of this section is also consistent with the annual report delivered to the state of Oregon as part of the paint stewardship program in that state; this helps stewardship organizations to ensure program consistency when implementing among multiple states.	
						Program Performance Measurement: Please see response to comment W02-12. Stakeholder Consultation: Please see response to comment W02-20. Audits: This section is included to fulfill the requirement of PRC§48705 (a)(6).	
§18952(b) (2)	W27-03	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	CalRecycle has no authority to approve, let alone, disapprove or conditionally approve a plan based on its own findings. A plan that meets the requirements set forth in section 48703 must be approved.	PRC §48704(a) grants CalRecycle the authority to approve or disapprove a stewardship plan. Regulations are put in place to clarify statute. In this way, CalRecycle establishes fair, consistent criteria for making the determination. The conditional approval provision is consistent with other programs where CalRecycle has similar responsibilities implementing statute. The department recognizes that there may be instances where it is in the best interest of stakeholders such as a MFR/SO, consumers, and local jurisdictions to proceed with implementation of a program while certain portions of a plan are being brought into compliance with statute.	None
§18952(b) (3)	W27-04	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	Nothing in statute authorizes resubmission of a plan. The structure of the statute is that information subsequent to the plan is provided to CalRecycle in annual reports.	PRC §48704(c) states that a manufacturer or stewardship is to implement a plan as approved. Once a plan is approved per the regulation, a plan would need to be re-submitted would be if there is a "significant or material change". To maintain consistency with PRC §48703(b)(4), which states that the architectural paint stewardship assessment shall be approved by the department as part of the plan, CalRecycle has defined "significant or material change" to be any modification to the assessment previously approved.	None
						CalRecycle maintains that any "significant or material change" to a previously approved plan merits another review and approval process as part of the department's role as the oversight and enforcement authority for the architectural paint recovery program.	
§18952(c)	W27-04.1	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	Most of the requirements in this subsection exceed the scope of CalRecycle's authority, are inconsistent with statute, and are unnecessary. CalRecycle has asked for the inclusion of an "executive summary," "scope," "program outline description of goals and activities based on the	See responses to General Comments #1 and #3, and comment W02-08 (45-day comment period).	None

Section/ Area	Comment Number	Commenter Affiliation	First name	Last name	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions Needed
					stewardship plan," and "market development", none of which are explicitly required in PRC§ 48705(a). These requirements go beyond the authority granted to CalRecycle in statute.		
§18952(c) (3)	Coating:	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	CalRecycle has exceeded its authority under the statute by giving itself the ability to adopt a finding of compliance or non-compliance for an annual report and requiring resubmission of an annual report and/or supplemental information upon a finding of non-compliance. A finding of compliance or non-compliance must be made pursuant to section 48705 and not based on CalRecycle findings.	PRC §48705(a) and (b) grants CalRecycle the authority to adopt a finding of compliance or non-compliance based on a complete report "describing its architectural paint recovery efforts." In the case that the department adopts a finding of non-compliance, a process for a MFR/SO to obtain compliance with this chapter must be described so that the requirements are applied consistently to all MFRs/SOs, thereby ensuring a level playing field for all MFRs/SOs. The alternative to this process would be that, instead of having time to resubmit a plan that presumably would be found in compliance, the MFR/SO would be subject to immediate penalties, and, if found to be intentional, knowing, or negligent, would be penalized up to \$10,000 per day of non-compliance.	None
§18953(a) (2)	W27-05.1	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	CalRecycle attempts to require the management of paint containers into the stewardship when the statute deals solely and exclusively with architectural paint, and not the containers. This is beyond the scope of statute	See response to comment W02-10 (45-day comment period).	None
§18953(a) (3)	W27-05.2	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	Statute requires goals be set, but the regulation goes beyond the statutory requirements and requires a baseline to be provided by paint manufacturers or a stewardship organization, and a methodology for estimating leftover paint available for collection. These extra requirements go beyond statute.	See response to comment W02-12 (45-day comment period).	None
§18953(a) (3)	W27-06	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	CalRecycle has gone well beyond the statute in dictating what must be set out in the stewardship plan ostensibly as part of the goals. While the statute requires goals, the regulation requires a description of how the goals were derived.	CalRecycle staff does not concur. As previously stated in response to comment W02-30 (45-day comment period) by the commenter: "CalRecycle knows, based on experience with other programs, that a thorough and transparent description of goals and how they are derived and measured contributes to a successful program." Revisions were made to clarify the minimum descriptions of the goals that a MFR/SO establishes pursuant to PRC §48703 (d), so that CalRecycle and other stakeholders have a basic understanding of those goals.	§18953(a)(3)
§18953(a) (3)	W33-00.1	Los Angeles Co. Solid Waste Mgmt Cmte/Integr ated Waste Mgmt Task Force	Margaret	Clark	The program must be designed to maximize convenience to consumers. As written, the Regulations do not ensure the development of convenient collection sites for residents. The Regulations need to be revised to include a plan to encourage manufacturers or the product stewardship organization to focus on recruiting retail participation to increase program convenience and effectiveness. Consistent with the EPR Framework, the Regulations should also ensure system effectiveness and set minimum recovery rates as appropriate. While existing infrastructure may be considered in the development of the plan, such as an existing local government program, it also must	See response to comment W14-12 (45-day comment period). While CalRecycle's perspective is that that since every consumer will be paying an assessment, then every consumer should have some level of access in geographic regions throughout the state, CalRecycle believes that requiring mandatory recruitment of retailer participation is inconsistent with statute. While setting minimum goals to ensure system effectiveness is consistent with the EPR Framework, having CalRecycle mandate these goals would be inconsistent with the language in statute.	None

Section/	Comment	Commenter	First	Last name	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions			
Area	Number	Affiliation	name				Needed			
					reflect, as AB 1343 recognizes, that existing infrastructure is not sufficient or convenient enough to capture a significant portion of thee					
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
					postconsumer architectural paint (only about five percent of all households currently participate in the local government programs)					
§18953(a)	W27-07	American	Gene	Livingston	The regulations provide that a manufacturer or stewardship organization	Commenter correctly understands that failing to report on mandatory provisions of a plan	None			
(5)	W27-07	7-07 American Coatings	Gene	Livingston	that does not participate in market development is not subject to	may result in a rejection of the plan; selling paint in CA without an approved plan is a violation	None			
(5)		Assoc. (ACA)			penalties "for this section." The clear implication of that statement is that	of the statute and is subject to penalties.				
		& PaintCare			a paint manufacturer or stewardship organization that fails to participate,	of the statute and is subject to penalties.				
			Q r anneare			that is, fails to include in the stewardship plan other provisions of	CalRecycle staff added the provision cited based on comments and recommendations			
					regulatory section 18953, is subject to penalties. If that is CalRecycle's	provided by ACA during the 45-day public comment period.				
						intent, it has no such authority.				
					interit, it has no such authority.	ACA acknowledged in its comment letter dated September 5, 2011, that certain provisions are				
						mandatory. The department agrees. Therefore, failure to include in the plan these other				
						mandatory provisions could lead to penalties as described above. The department asserts				
						that it has such authority under per PRC Sections 48702, 48703, and 48704.				
						See also response to General Comment #3.				
§18953(a)	W27-07.1	American	Gene	Livingston	Market development is totally extraneous to the statute, and would	See response to comment W27-02.3 (above) and W02-15 (45-day comment period).	None			
(5)		Coatings			create a situation in which a manufacturer or stewardship organization					
		Assoc. (ACA)			would be penalized for failing to participate in these types of activities.					
		& PaintCare			Such activities are not contemplated in statute, and therefore, CalRecycle					
					lacks the authority to require them.					
§18953(a)	W35-01	Californians	Californians	Californians	Californians	Mark	Murray	According to Public Resources Code (PRC) 40180, "Recycle" or "recycling"	No response is needed since no revision was suggested to the provision regarding market	None
(5)		Against			means the process of collecting, sorting, cleansing, treating, and	development information.				
		Waste			reconstituting materials that would otherwise become solid waste, and					
						returning them to the economic mainstream in the form of raw material				
					for new, reused, or reconstituted products which meet the quality					
					standards necessary to be used in the marketplace. "Recycling" does not					
					include transformation, as defined in Section 40201.					
					CalRecycle is correct in requiring market development in the regulations					
					because market development is, by definition, part of recycling. Recycling					
					is a required element in the goals as stated in PRC 48703(d).					
§18953(a)	W27-07.2	American	Gene	Livingston	Nothing in statute contemplates that a manufacturer or stewardship	PRC§48703(f), as revised by AB 408, states that "Any retailer may participate, on a voluntary	None			
(5)(E)	E) C	Coatings	Scric	Livingston	organization must negotiate with any retailer wanting to participate in	basis, as a paint collection point pursuant to the paint stewardship program, if the retailer's	1,5110			
(2/(-/		Assoc. (ACA)			their paint stewardship program. Statute simply states that "any retailer	paint collection location meets all of the conditions in Sections 25217.2 and 25217.2.1 of the				
		& PaintCare			may participate, on a voluntary basis, as a paint collection point pursuant	Health and Safety Code."				
					to the paint stewardship program."					
						The proposed regulation clarifies that retailer participation is voluntary and applies the same				
						"reasonably feasible and mutually agreeable" standard for participation that is used for				
						existing local household hazardous waste collection programs in PRC§48703(c) (see also				
	1					response to comment W22-01). This is to provide consistency to the process of establishing				

Section/ Area	Comment Number	Commenter Affiliation	First name	Last name	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions Needed
						collection paints throughout the state.	
§18953(a) (6)(D)	W27-07.3	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	The statement that a mutually agreeable arrangement has been made with all service providers is not required in statute. Statute provides that a plan should demonstrate sufficient funding, but nothing requires the plan to address mutually agreeable arrangements with service providers.	See response to comment W02-17 (45-day comment period).	None
§18953(a) (6)(D)	W33-01	Los Angeles Co. Solid Waste Mgmt Cmte/Integr ated Waste Mgmt Task Force	Margaret	Clark	Consistent with CalRecycle's EPR Framework, an effective stewardship program must be designed to provide financial relief to local governments and require minimal local government involvement. As seen through the comments submitted by local jurisdictions, there is an overwhelming concern that the Regulations allow a loophole that would place local jurisdictions at a disadvantage during contract negotiations with manufactures or a stewardship organization. Language in the Regulations state that operational costs may be covered through manufacturers or a stewardship organization who will attempt to negotiate to establish a "mutually agreeable and reasonably feasible agreement" to address those costs. This language of "mutually agreeable and reasonably feasible agreement" used throughout the Regulations, in reality, allows manufacturers or a stewardship organization not to fully address the cost burden of local jurisdictions. Suggested Revision: Revise Section 18953 6(D) and other applicable sections to reflect the cost issue. If a local jurisdiction chooses to participate in the program, its operation costs including administration at minimum must be covered. For that reason, we would also like Section 18951 (f) to be revised as follows: "Operation costs" means costs to operate a paint stewardship program, including but not limited to, administration, collection, transportation, processing, disposal, and education and outreach costs.	This comment is similar to comments submitted during the 45-day period. CalRecycle maintains the position it expressed earlier. There is no absolute statutory requirement for a manufacturer (MFR) or stewardship organization (SO) to enter into an agreement with any particular household hazardous waste collection program, per PRC Section 48703(c). The department disagrees with the commenter. It is not only up to the "local jurisdiction to [choose] to participate" as the commenter suggests. The arrangement must be "mutually agreeable" per statute, so the MFR/SO may also chooses to allow, or exclude, a local waste management program in their program. If the terms of the agreement, including "operational costs," are not agreeable to a MFR/SO, then they have the right under the statute to not work with that local jurisdiction, just as if the terms of an agreement, including "operational costs", are not agreeable to a jurisdiction, then they have the right under the statute not to work with the MFR/SO. See also responses to comments W14-10, W14-07 and W22-01 (45-day comment period).	None
§18953(a) (6)(E)	W27-07.4	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	Statute addresses only the sufficiency of the funding mechanism and not the allocation of revenues and expenditures; therefore the requirement that allocations are made in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) is another example of CalRecycle attempting to expand the criteria for plan approval.	It is unclear from the comment how adhering to GAAP will affect the "allocation of revenues and expenditures" aside from properly tracking and reporting them as is already required per PRC Section 48705(a)(6). As part of its oversight role, CalRecycle has an obligation to ensure that the funding mechanism is sufficient to fund the program. As the assessment may generate estimated revenues of up to \$40 million, CalRecycle staff believes that it is essential that these funds are expended and allocated in a manner that is consistent with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, in order to safeguard these funds collected from the public as is required per PRC Section 48703(a)(4). Also, see responses to General Comments #1 and #3.	None
	W27-07.5	American	Gene	Livingston	This subsection would again expand the criteria for approving stewardship	As part of its oversight role, under PRC § 48703(a)(4), CalRecycle has an obligation to ensure	None

Section/ Area	Comment Number	Commenter Affiliation	First name	Last name	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions Needed
(6)(F)	Number	Affiliation Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	name		plans, which CalRecycle does not have the authority to do so.	that the funding mechanism is sufficient to fund the program. PaintCare, a stewardship organization that intends to submit a plan in California, is currently running or developing programs in Oregon and Connecticut. Additionally, staff is aware that the American Coatings Association, the organization that founded PaintCare, is actively considering legislation in other states where appropriate. This subsection ensures that funds generated from California consumers are spent only on the California paint stewardship program. Also, see response to General Comments #1 and #3.	Needed
§18953(a) (9)	W33-02	Los Angeles Co. Solid Waste Mgmt Cmte/Integr ated Waste Mgmt Task Force	Margaret	Clark	Provisions need to be incorporated into CalRecycle's review and approval process to allow and consider local government input prior to approval of the stewardship plans by allowing local governments a 30-day opportunity to review and comment on the plan. Consistent with the EPR Framework, CalRecycle should collaborate with agencies, internal and external, and other key stakeholders to effectively address cross-media and cross-organizational issues when considering approval of product stewardship plans.	There is no statutory requirement for public review of plans or annual reports prior to approval. However, see responses to comments W14-04 and W19-01 (45-day comment period).	None
§18953(a) (7)	W27-08	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	The implication of this provision is that a paint manufacturer or stewardship organization could be penalized for advertising a collection point that is not a service provider.	Yes. This provision may be subject to a penalty. It is CalRecycle's intention to ensure that, consistent with provisions elsewhere in the regulation, mutually agreeable and reasonably feasible agreements are utilized in order for a stakeholder such as a local jurisdiction and its infrastructure to be utilized as part of a MFR/SO's program.	None
§18953(a) (10)	W27-09	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	CalRecycle sets out an optional provision and states that a manufacturer or stewardship organization that fails to report on "non-financial activities" is not subject to penalties for this section. Again, the implication is that failing to include every other criteria mandated by these regulations in a plan is subject to penalties.	This comment is not valid because §18953(a)(10)(B) was previously stricken from the proposed regulation.	None
§18954	W27-09.2	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	Nothing in the statute requires the annual report to contain supporting documents, therefore this requirement is unauthorized and inconsistent.	From past experience, CalRecycle staff asserts that supporting documentation is a key to ensure that the all report information is accurate. The supporting documentation will also assist the department in making its compliance determinations. See also response to General Comment #1.	None
§18954	W27-09.3	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	There are many requirements described in the annual report section of the regulation that go beyond those provisions specifically outlined in statute, and therefore CalRecycle has exceeded it's authority in describing the criteria for annual reports. These include the executive summary, scope, a reporting of materials by type, a description of best management practices, and a statement that manufacturers or stewardship organizations negotiated a mutually agreeable arrangement with service providers.	See responses to General Comments #1 and #3, and comment W02-08 (45-day comment period). Executive Summary: See response to comment W02-22 (45-day comment period). Scope: See response to comment W02-23 (45-day comment period). Best management practices: PRC §48702 (a) states that the program's purpose is, in part, to ensure that paint is managed "in an environmentally sound fashion." The inclusion of best	None

Section/ Area	Comment Number	Commenter Affiliation	First name	Last name	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions Needed
						management practices in the annual report will demonstrate how these materials are handled in this manner.	
						Paint volumes by type: See response to W02-25 (45-day comment period).	
						Mutually agreeable arrangements with service providers: PRC §48703(c) describes coordination between manufacturers and stewardship organizations to occur "as much as this is reasonably feasible and is mutually agreeable between these programs." §18954(a)(4)(D) is meant to demonstrate compliance with this element of statute.	
§18954(a) (2)	W35-02	Californians Against Waste	Mark	Murray	CalRecycle is correct in including paint containers in the stewardship program - the statute is clear on this issue that the funding mechanism is to provide a stewardship assessment on each container, not just the paint in the container. Manufacturers cannot sell paint without the container so it is logical that they cannot take back paint without taking back the containers as well.	No response is needed since no revision was suggested to the provision regarding paint containers.	None
					PRC 48703 (a)(2) The funding mechanism shall provide for an architectural paint stewardship assessment for each container of architectural paint sold by manufacturers in this state and the assessment shall be remitted to the stewardship organization, if applicable.		
§18954(a) (5)	W27-09.4	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	CalRecycle misunderstands the provisions of goals, which allow that goals may be revised by the manufacturer or stewardship organization. This provision is permissive, and CalRecycle is acting inconsistently with statute when it attempts to convert it to a mandate.	The description of goals as described in §18954(a)(5) asks for manufacturers or stewardship organizations to include any revisions to goals, if there are any. CalRecycle does not mandate that goals be changed each year, but simply requests that the manufacturer or stewardship organization inform the department of any changes that have been made to the goals.	None
§18954(a) (6)	W27-09.5	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	It is unclear whether or not the market development section is actually discretionary, or simply not subject to penalties. CalRecycle also appears to believe that it has the authority to impose penalties for failing to include other informational elements required by subsection (a) in annual reports.	Market development remains a discretionary element in the report to the extent that it is a discretionary activity the MFR/SO may engage in; manufacturers and stewardship organizations need only describe these efforts in this area to the extent that they choose to conduct these activities, these activities are not required. See also response to comment W27-11.	None
§18954(a) (7)	W27-09.6	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	This section includes many informational elements that are inconsistent with statute, and go beyond CalRecycle's authority to mandate. These include costs per gallon, capital costs, and costs per capita.	See responses to General Comments #1 and #3, and response to comment W02-08 (45-day comment period).	None
§18954(a) (8)	W27-09.7	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	The reporting requirements for education and outreach go far above and beyond the basic requirement of examples as described in statute.	See responses to General Comments #1 and #3 and W02-08 (45-day comment period).	None
§18954(a) (9)	W27-10	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	The statute, section 48705(a)(6), requires an independent financial audit. Subsection (a)(9) dictates how the audit is to be conducted, who is to conduct it, and most egregiously of all, provides that CalRecycle may investigate further to review the findings of the auditors, and to request	The independent financial audit will present an opinion of the organization's financial reporting. This information will not provide an analysis on the compliance of the organization with the requirements of the program. Additionally, audits often have findings that require corrective action. The oversight of these corrective actions resides with the department.	None

Section/ Area	Comment Number	Commenter Affiliation	First name	Last name	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions Needed
Alco	rumbe.	Aimidaidh	name		further information. The Legislature was satisfied with an independent audit, relying on that sole safeguard to provide it with the assurance it needed that the paint industry is operating consistently with its intent. Nothing in the statute suggests that the Legislature intended to set up CalRecycle as an auditor of the auditor, to review materials other than the audit report.	The audit becomes an important component of the oversight of the program but may lead to compliance or other questions that the department reserves the right to pursue. See also response to General Comment #3.	Necucu
§18955.1 Table I.	W27-11	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	CalRecycle's enforcement mechanism is through approving the first plan and determining compliance thereafter through the annual reports. Therefore, the failure to submit a stewardship plan or one containing all of the elements required by Public Resources Code section 48703, results in those manufacturers being prohibited from selling paint and retailers from selling paint produced by those manufacturers. That is the enforcement mechanism, not the imposition of civil penalties. Civil penalties are to be imposed for selling paint produced by manufacturers not in compliance. The same analysis is applicable to the failure to pay the administrative fee, the failure to submit an annual report, and the failure to include in the annual report the elements required by PRC section 18705(a). Recommendation: Strike from Table I all of the violations except the first, offering or selling paint produced by a manufacturer not in compliance with this chapter, as provided in PRC 18702(b).	PRC §48703(d) and (f), states that CalRecycle has the authority to enforce the entire chapter, and sets penalty amounts in statute. Therefore, CalRecycle staff do not concur with the commenter that the only enforcement mechanism for a MFR/SO or retailer is through the sales ban alone.	None
§18955.1 Table II	W27-12	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	Same analysis as for Table I, above. Recommendation: Strike from Table II the second violation.	PRC §48704(d) and (f) state that the department shall enforce this chapter and that a civil penalty may be administratively imposed by the department on any person who violates this chapter in an amount of up to one thousand dollars (\$1,000) per violation per day. Therefore, CalRecycle staff do not concur with the commenter that the only enforcement mechanism for a MFR/SO or retailer is through the sales ban alone.	None
§18956	W27-13	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	CalRecycle has no authority to require recordkeeping beyond the materials provided to it in the stewardship plan and annual reports. It has no authority to require that the manufacturers and retailers make records available at any time, and certainly not immediately, or to impose penalties for failure to provide records. Recommendation: Strike all of section 18956.	PRC §48703(d) and (f) states that CalRecycle has the authority to enforce the entire chapter, and sets penalty amounts in statute. It is implicit in enforcement that the manufacturer or stewardship organization substantiates the activities in the annual report, and that requires certain documentation. The authority to audit carries with it the reasonable access to records necessary for the conduct of the audit. Federal OMB Circular A-122, Attachment A, General Principles, A Basic Considerations, 2 Factors affecting the allowability of costs, states reasonable costs "G. Be adequately documented." The "immediate" provision was removed for the 15-day comment period version of the proposed regulation in response to the comment submitted by ACA following the 45-day	None

Section/ Area	Comment Number	Commenter Affiliation	First name	Last name	Summary of Comment	CalRecycle Response	Revisions Needed				
Alea	Number	Anniation	патте			Also see response to comment W02-42 (45-day comment period).	Needed				
§18957	W27-14	American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Gene	Livingston	Regulatory sections 14 CCR sections 17044 and 17045 require a person submitting data claimed to be a trade secret or confidential or proprietary information to indicate that at the time of submission. The PRC section 48704(b) makes clear that financial, production, or sales data is not a public record. Comparing the PRC provision with the existing regulation raises the question of whether a manufacturer or stewardship organization submitting a stewardship plan containing financial, production, or sales data is obligated to identify that data as proprietary and confidential at the time of submission. Moreover, 14 CCR, section 17046, setting out the procedure that CalRecycle follows in determining whether information is to be disclosed or not, also raises the question of whether this is the process to be followed if a request is made by a member of the public for financial, production, or sales data included in a stewardship plan?	Also see response to General Comment #3. The provisions in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, Division 7, Chapter 1, Article 4 (commencing with Section 17041) were adopted by the Division to implement the requirements in the California Public Records Act in Chapter 3.5 of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code. In fact, the first line of the Regulations cited in Section 17041 specifies that "This article shall apply to all requests to the Board pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code sections 6250 et seq.) for the disclosure of public records or for maintaining the confidentiality of data received by the Board." California Code of Regulations, Division 7, Chapter 1, Article 4, with or without this provision, applies to all Public Records Act requests made to the Department. The reference in this regulation is made to places stakeholders on notice regarding department practices and guidelines regarding protected information; this section is not intended to conflict with, expand or decrease the privacy rights extended to a MFR/SO.	None				
§18958	Co As	27-15 American Coatings Assoc. (ACA) & PaintCare	Coatings Assoc. (ACA)	Coatings Assoc. (ACA)	Coatings Assoc. (ACA)	Coatings Assoc. (ACA)	Gene	Livingston	Recommendation: CalRecycle needs to clarify how its existing regulation applies to a request for financial, production, or sales data included in a stewardship plan, if the existing regulation is to apply at all. CalRecycle may not delegate to itself the authority to set an administrative fee without complying with the APA. This regulation, to the extent it contemplates the imposition of a fee without future compliance with the APA, lacks clarity. Moreover, necessity must be	PRC §48704(e)(2) requires the department to impose fees in an amount that is sufficient to cover the department's full costs of administering and enforcing this chapter, including any program development costs or regulatory costs incurred by the department prior to the submittal of the stewardship plans.	None
					demonstrated in the rulemaking record, not just for a fee, but for the specific fee. The absence of a specific fee in this regulation raises a clarity issue, and as a consequence, nothing in the rulemaking record sets out the facts supporting the need for a specific fee amount. A specific fee imposed by CalRecycle, without complying with the APA, would be invalid as an underground regulation. CalRecycle should either strike all of section 18958, or propose a specific fee. If it proposes a specific fee, it needs to demonstrates the need for the specific amount in the record of	CalRecycle is unable to provide a final invoice for these costs at this time, but has generated some cost estimates based on projected staff time needed for this program. Staff estimates the administrative fee for the period covering November 2010-June 30, 2012, to be \$200,000. The fee for the first fiscal year of the program (2012-2013) is estimated at \$400,000, and the fee for the next fiscal year (2013-2014), and all subsequent years is estimated at \$375,000 per year, assuming the program is implemented smoothly.					
					the rulemaking proceeding.	Oregon's annual architectural paint recovery program costs were stated as \$3.3 million in the annual report submitted by PaintCare, covering a population roughly one-tenth the size of California's. After scaling these costs for California's larger population, staff estimates that the ongoing annual administrative fee will represent approximately 1.1% of the architectural paint recovery program's total costs.					